



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/484,549	01/18/2000	Korbin Van Dyke	01000.9901080	9816	
24228 75	90 11/13/2003		EXAMINER		
MARKISON & RECKAMP, PC			ALI, SYED J		
PO BOX 06229				DARED MINADED	
WACKER DR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-0229			2127	7	
•			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6	ıΛ	G.
¥	74	7

			M27_
4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/484,549	VAN DYKE ET AL.	
, <b>,</b>	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Syed J Ali	2127	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cov r sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED October 23, 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment whi eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper repict ich places the application.	ply to a cation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distance of the dist	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. Solution  136(a) and the appropriate existed the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims versions.			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>:</b>		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	. <del></del>	

**WILLIAM GRANT** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 09/484,549

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant has canceled previously filed independent claims 1 and 13, and has filed new independent claims 15, 16, and 17. The new independent claims present limitations not previously presented, and do not clearly place the application in condition for allowanc, and thus would necessitate a new search. Furthermore, significant arguments have been presented that can not be fairly considered unless a new search was to be conducted that netted the best available prior art.. Additionally, after reviewing the Final Rejection, paper number 5, it is noted that while the Office Action summary referred to claims 1-14 being rejected and the Office Action addressed each of Applicant's arguments, Examiner inadvertently failed to refer to paper number 3 for the details of the rejections. Paper number 3 was intented to be incorporated by reference into paper number 5. Examiner apologizes for the oversight.